

LAMOILLE UNION DISTRICT #18 BOARD MEETING MINUTES
LAMOILLE UNION LIBRARY
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 16, 2007

Board members present: B. Bailey, D. Baker, J. Eisenhardt, S. Hamlyn-Prescott, P. Ingvaldstad, D. Osborn, C. Mann, S. Reber, K. Roberts, W. Sander, D. Whitcomb. Absent: T. O'Hear. Others: T. Bailey, C. Hindes, B. Schaffer, J. Teegarden, M. Spaulding, M. Frederick, David Wells, Doug Boardman, Mickey Smith, Pam Jaspersohn (6:38).

Note: All votes taken are unanimous unless otherwise noted.

1. *Call to Order, Introductions, Announcements and Public Input*

A. Call to Order – 6:32

B. Introductions

Introductions were made. T. Bailey thanked M. Smith for his article on the Sweeney House.

2. *Presentation and Guest Input on What to Do With the Sweeney House*

M. Frederick began a presentation on the Sweeney House options. She discussed the current use, expenses, and latest assessed value. The value assessed by the Town of Hyde Park was just for the house, not for any of the property. M. Spaulding talked about the requirement to have a public meeting with the zoning committee in Hyde Park in order to subdivide. He said two meetings may be required, but the subdivision could be approved in one meeting.

D. Wells recommended selling the house. He said the board, administration, and staff have more important issues to deal with than the maintenance of this building. He suggested a good use for the proceeds might be a revolving fund for maintenance, but the board would have to ask the taxpayers. (*D. Wells left at 6:44.*)

D. Boardman said he was representing Friends of Cricket Hill, a non-profit group formed to maintain the Cricket Hill trails. He thanked the board for all the time and work they have put in. He said his group had a proposal in favor of keeping the Sweeney House. The trail system is valuable; it is considered one of the top networks in the state for running and x-c skiing. The group's main concern is long-term maintenance of the trails. They are concerned about access to maintenance equipment and to the trails themselves, and they are concerned about funding. They want use of the property not to interfere with use and maintenance of the trails. People should be able to use the trails without interference and without feeling like they're interfering with private residents. A couple of access points adjoin the 4 acres that could be sold, and the group has some concerns about access at those points. New access points would probably have to be constructed. The Sweeney House garage is used to store equipment now. The equipment needs to be stored indoors.

S. Hamlyn-Prescott said several members from Friends of Cricket Hill had come to a previous meeting, and there was discussion about how the nordic trails could be configured so they would not be on that part of the property. The snowmobiles can't be housed in the garage any longer if we rent the house, because of the fumes. M. Spaulding said one trail going across the side lawn of the house would be lost, but it could be relocated. P. Jaspersohn agreed. She said if the school wants to keep using the trails for skiing and running, it should make the effort to house the trail maintenance equipment just as it houses the driver's ed car, mowers, etc. Maybe something should be put in the budget to help with some of the trail mowing. B. Bailey asked, will the trail have to be moved even if the house is not sold, since the new leach field is in that area? M. Spaulding said probably not. (*D. Boardman left at 6:57.*) P. Jaspersohn asked about previous discussions concerning other uses of the house. She commented that once it's gone, it's gone. W. Sander said one use he's been advocating for is a residential SPED situation where there could possibly be positive cash flow. The house might not need major renovations for that.

T. Bailey said he had checked with Paul Giuliani about how we could protect trail use in the deed. It is quite clear that in the bill of sale and deed we could state that the trails are there, and that activities like grooming will take place, so the new owners would have no recourse to complain about noise. We could even build in a stipulation that our equipment would need to cross the property from time to time. It is common practice to put in covenants like that. D. Baker recommended that if we do sell, we set aside enough to build a new storage facility.

ACTION: K. Roberts moved that the board sell the Sweeney house and 4 acres and retain 50% of the proceeds for Friends of Cricket Hill to build a new storage facility and for maintenance of trails, seconded by S. Reber.

C. Mann and others said it would be better to give a specific dollar amount. **K. Roberts and S. Reber agreed to amend the motion to say that the board would retain an amount not more than \$100,000.** D. Whitcomb said we shouldn't be putting money aside for a separate non-profit until we know if we can do that legally. Maybe trail maintenance should be put into the regular budget. If we set this precedent, others could form non-profits and ask for money. K. Roberts said if the money were in the regular budget, it could be diverted away from the trails. M. Spaulding suggested saying we are using the money to build a structure the school will own, instead of saying we are giving it to a non-profit group. K. Roberts said her intention was to create a sinking fund for trail maintenance. M. Frederick said she has some experience with taking school district funds and moving them into a different foundation structure. The bylaws of the group that is getting the money have to be very specific and structured. It is not easily done and not something she would recommend. She thought funds earmarked for something like maintaining or building should be within the ownership of the school district. It will have to be approved by the voters. There is an

additional stipulation for schools; we have 5 years to use the fund or the state could penalize us, but to her knowledge they never have.

K. Roberts and S. Reber agreed to amend the motion thus: to sell the Sweeney house and 4 acres, stipulating that the board ask for authorization for up to \$100,000 to be put into a reserve fund for construction of a storage building and maintenance of the Cricket Hill trails.

W. Sander said he thought we didn't need voter approval. K. Roberts and M. Frederick said voter approval is required, not for the sale, but for creating a reserve fund. D. Osborn asked T. Bailey if we can have any control over who is allowed to buy the property. T. Bailey said we can't restrict the sale or give priority to anyone at all. S. Reber noted that if voters say no to the reserve fund, then we've made no provision for the trails. Should we get approval first? K Roberts said we could start construction on the building without approval; only the future reserve fund would have to be approved. C. Mann asked, why do the trails have to be maintained separately, not by the school? P. Jaspersohn said she agreed that the school should maintain them. The only reason Friends of Cricket Hill was created was that they couldn't get grants unless they were a corporation. C. Mann asked, do we need a reserve fund if we own the trails, and they are going to keep doing what they do? S. Reber said it assures that we will benefit the property we're going to impinge on with the sale. A future board might decide not to help the trails if we don't decide to tonight. C. Mann said she was concerned about precedent; other groups could come along wanting money.

W. Sander said he thought we needed to go back to the voters about selling. M. Frederick said we only need to go to the voters to borrow money. We can spend money any way we want. P. Ingvaldstad asked, we wouldn't need to go to the voters to sell the middle school? M. Frederick said no. D. Baker said we can't sell anything that has a bond on it. W. Sander said there was a separate bond for this. P. Ingvaldstad asked if we are still paying on it. M. Frederick said Paul Giuliani already said we didn't have to go to the voters to sell. P. Ingvaldstad asked if he knew we had a bond. D. Baker asked, it's not legal to own the house if we're not using it, correct? M. Frederick said it's not legal to buy a property with no plans for school use. If we do have some possible use, we could make a case that it is okay to hold onto it. M. Spaulding said if we put money aside for maintaining the trails, it should be for things like garages, bridges, or parking lots. Things like mulch and labor should be in the general budget. D. Osborn asked, can we have separate motions for selling the house and using the proceeds for a reserve fund? K. Roberts asked if when the bond was written the property description was such that it would require release of part of the property. M. Frederick said P. Giuliani clearly knew the whole story behind the purchase of the property, and he still said the sale would be okay. T. Bailey said it's not like a mortgage; if we don't make the bond payments, they don't repossess the house. K. Roberts asked if there is a security piece to the bond, in case of default. M. Frederick said municipalities don't usually go under and default. K. Roberts said she didn't want to uncouple the two parts of her motion. Her interest is in protec-

tion of the trail system, and she's only willing to sell with a safety net to ensure that.

M. Spaulding said the house could sit on the market for 3 years, and then a new board could make a different decision about using the money. C. Mann said the new storage building will be built by then, though. M. Spaulding said there is no money to build it until the house is sold. P. Ingvaldstad said he is concerned that we may get an offer of less than \$300,000 that people will jump on; he would rather wait until the market turns around and get \$300,000. He would only want an auction if there were a minimum bid. D. Whitcomb said there are various ways the auction could be run, including having a reserve bid. He said an auction has a lot of advantages. If the reserve is not met, we still have a possible interested person to talk to about buying the house. He noted that we still have the repairs to deal with if we are waiting for the market to improve.

D. Osborn said she didn't like earmarking funds for something that should be the school's responsibility anyway. She thinks it is important to maintain the trails, and to keep funding for trail maintenance separate sets the wrong precedent; we should integrate that work, not separate it. D. Baker suggested modifying the motion to say that an amount of money would be set aside for a building. K. Roberts said the school has never accepted responsibility for the trails so far. M. Spaulding suggested making it sound less like the reserve fund would be for mowing, etc., and more like it would be money invested to improve the trails. P. Ingvaldstad said it could be spent on something like a soccer field. **K. Roberts and S. Reber agreed to amend the motion to say that up to \$100,000 would be set aside for future upkeep of the trails, including a structure to house equipment.** D. Whitcomb noted that this would happen only with voter approval.

S. Hamlyn-Prescott reminded the board that if we sell the house with 4 acres, there will be a cost to relocate one section of trail. S. Reber asked M. Spaulding, is it his intention to ask that funds for trail maintenance be incorporated into the next budget? He said yes. P. Ingvaldstad said mowing the trails takes 22 hours, and should be done twice a year – and it requires a brush hog, which we don't have. Others suggested renting one. D. Osborn asked, does this address Friends of Cricket Hill's issues? K. Roberts said the deed should also contain restrictions. P. Ingvaldstad asked about how to meet his concerns about getting a minimum amount from the sale. W. Sander suggested an executive session. **D. Baker moved to call the question, and the motion was seconded and passed.**

The motion to sell the property was passed, with W. Sander opposed.

It was agreed to agenda for a future meeting a discussion on how to sell the house. M. Spaulding will invite a realtor from Marble Realty and someone from Hirchak. In answer to M. Spaulding's question, M. Frederick said we don't need to put the choice of a realtor to bid. D. Whitcomb wanted to make sure the minutes reflected that he did not participate in the discussion about inviting someone from Hir-

chak (an auctioneer he has worked for.) S. Hamlyn-Prescott asked, will we need to address any repairs before the next meeting? M. Spaulding recommended selling the house as is. It was agreed to hold the second November meeting on the second Tuesday of the month, and the discussion on options for selling the house will be on the agenda for that meeting.

3. ***Consent Agenda Items (Approval of Minutes of the October 2nd Meeting and Acceptance of Administrative Reports)***

ACTION: K. Roberts moved to approve the consent agenda, W. Sander seconded, and the motion was passed.

4. ***Directors' Orders***

ACTION: D. Osborn moved to approve directors' orders for District #18 in the amount of \$1,511,567.31 and for GMTCC Building Project in the amount of \$1,189,614.26, seconded by W. Sander. Major expenditures for District #18: \$21,630.00 Augmentative Learning; \$52,084.89 First Student; \$16,859.00 Grimes, Inc. (Cricket Hill wastewater); \$97,020.00 LNSU (workers' comp., insurance); \$1,199,795.73 The Bank of New York Trust Co.; \$17,145.57. Major expenditures for GMTCC Bldg. Project: \$1,107,534.50 DEW Construction Corp.; \$69,700.80 Symquest Group, Inc. Several small amounts and one large amount were signed for between meetings in order to meet payment deadlines. **The motion was passed.**

ACTION: S. Reber moved to retroactively approve the payments made by the clerk on October 5 (\$1,072.55 to De Lage Landen for District #18 and \$1,493,342.90, including \$1,455,641.65 to DEW, for GMTCC Bldg. Project) and October 16 (\$5,887.50 to Alphanet Solutions for District #18 and \$62,729.95 to US Bank Operations Center for GMTCC Bldg. Project), seconded by D. Whitcomb, and the motion was passed.

5. ***Administrative Action Items***

A. **High School**

B. Schaffer said the student rep he had chosen didn't make it to the meeting, so he had no actions items. K. Roberts asked if it will be made clear to the student that she will be able to participate in discussion at the meetings. He said yes.

B. **GMTCC**

M. Frederick distributed a memo explaining our need to take out a Grant Anticipation Note. Our request to the state to review the calculations used for the Maximum Construction Aid on the GMTCC project resulted in a provision of an additional \$148,000 in state aid. The total for potential state aid for construction is now \$7,427,349. The project has received \$2,000,000, leaving us with a balance of \$5,427,349 in eligible Grant Anticipation aid. It is possible that we may receive \$915,000 in July 2008, and the rest not for many years. We need to borrow money in anticipation of receiving our construction aid, in order to meet expenses now. We may be able to earn interest at a higher rate that we borrow at. M. Frederick requested quotes from 3 financial institutions and received 2 quotes.

ACTION: W. Sander moved to award the Grant Anticipation Note in the amount of \$5,427,349 to the lowest cost provider, TD Banknorth, seconded by K. Roberts. S. Reber asked, we will earn money by doing this? M. Frederick said we can, depending on how long it is before we receive the rest of our state aid. If it is as long as 10 years, we won't earn enough to offset the cost of borrowing. She will be doing some projections with different assumptions. D. Baker asked what happens if, with interest, the amount we pay exceeds the "not to exceed" amount in the original bond. M. Frederick said we won't exceed that amount in long term debt; this will be a series of short term debt. **The motion was passed.**

C. Central Office

Use of Part of Fund Balance for Capital Reserve Fund

M. Frederick distributed a memo on establishing a capital reserve account. The district is anticipated to have a fund balance for FY07. Vermont does not allow schools to maintain fund balances, but it is allowable to place an Article on the ballot that establishes a reserve fund for a specific purpose. This makes it possible to hold a previous year's fund balance separately and use it over the next 5 years. M. Frederick suggests establishing a 2-3% capital fund reserve to be used for school improvements and capital replacement, and using the remainder of the fund balance as offsetting revenue for the FY09 budget.

S. Hamlyn-Prescott asked about this creating an artificial bump in revenue for next year. C. Mann asked, can we put the money in in increments? M. Frederick said no. K. Roberts said we could create the reserve fund, but use only some of the money each year. C. Mann asked, we can't have general expense reserve funds? M. Frederick said no. W. Sander said this fund might address storage on the Sweeney property. He thought it would make sense to put the whole fund balance in a reserve fund. K. Roberts said there has to be a deep rationale behind what we decide to do, because we have to explain it to the voters. We have to say specifically how we expect to use the funds. It is up to finance and facilities to create a plan we can justify. We can't use the money for one thing if we said it would be used for something else. S. Reber questioned whether that was really true. Others, including M. Frederick said it was, but we can have some designated for emergencies. S. Reber asked, couldn't we reapprove the fund each year for a slightly smaller amount? M. Frederick agreed we could.

Monthly Financial Report

M. Frederick presented the monthly financial report, for the current year through Sept. 30. There is a shortfall in transportation revenue, but transportation expenditures were also lower than budgeted. One reason for the lower expenses is that First Student took such a long time to return the contract for SPED transport to us that they decided to make up for it by not asking for any increase.

All known contracted salaries and benefits have been encumbered, though people could still change benefits. The middle/high school is under budget by about \$230K in salaries and benefits. The tech center has about \$143K not encumbered, representing two positions that haven't been filled. J. Teegarden said he chose not to fill those positions. The tech center is in good shape now.

Lamoille Campus Serving as an Emergency Clinic Site Discussion

T. Bailey said the Morristown office of the VT Dept. of Health has asked the board to approve authorization on an annual basis to have the school serve as an emergency clinic, mainly for inoculations, in case of disaster. We'd be given 24 hr. notice and they would agree to take care of any cleanup. We don't have an emergency power source and they're aware of that.

ACTION: S. Hamlyn-Prescott moved to accept the memorandum of understanding with the VT Dept. of Health authorizing use of the school as an emergency clinic, seconded by B. Bailey. K. Roberts said the Health Dept. should accept responsibility for traffic control. T. Bailey said we will have no responsibility except to turn over the facility. He noted that school might be in session while the Dept. of Health was using the building. K. Roberts said she would like to have the Dept. of Health's responsibility for traffic control in the memorandum of understanding. T. Bailey said he wouldn't recommend amending the memorandum or asking for a change; the board should either accept or reject it. W. Sander said we should accept it; we're a community resource. K. Roberts said if students are here, traffic control will be an issue. S. Reber said he felt it was adequate for the board to note its concern to T. Bailey. T. Bailey said we'd get 24 hr. notice, and could address the issue then. **The motion was passed, with K. Roberts opposed.**

Other Items

T. Bailey said the first of the public meetings to get input on transforming the education system was today at the state house. A number of students were to speak. Last Friday he and a dozen other superintendents attended a meeting on this topic. They were concerned about past initiatives that haven't come to anything. He'll bring the board up to date in the future. J. Teegarden said a student from GMTCC went to the statehouse today for the meeting. Students were broken up into groups and asked how they felt about their education.

6. *Committee Reports and Action Items*

A. **Finance Committee**

In response to the requests to have a paid minute taker for the policy and curriculum committees, and for the policy committee to get funding to investigate policy governance, S. Hamlyn-Prescott and M. Frederick went through the budget and found two places they could move money from. They took some funds from legal because this is not a negotiating year, and we probably won't need all of what was budgeted, and some from contracted services, because last year the amount was increased for board members to go to the annual convention or other workshops, but no one has requested those funds. S. Hamlyn-Prescott asked any board members who plan to attend workshops, etc. to give her a heads up. D. Whitcomb said committee members should take minutes themselves; he is against paying someone else. S. Reber said when members try to take minutes themselves, they often omit things or forget altogether. S. Hamlyn-Prescott said the finance committee has no recommendation.

ACTION: S. Reber moved to approve paying \$24 per hour, for an anticipated total of \$384, for committee minute takers, and the motion was seconded. S. Reber asked if there could be a general budget item for unanticipated board expenses, so we won't have to go through this in the future. B. Bailey asked if the paid minute taker would be for all committees. P. Ingvaldstad said just the policy and curriculum committees. He said he is aware of many committees who never turned in any minutes, and thus were out of compliance. D. Whitcomb suggested buying a tape recorder and just keeping tapes of the meetings. K. Roberts asked, could we tape the meetings and ask someone in the building to transcribe them? S. Reber said paying a minute taker makes it simple. **The motion was passed with 4 in favor, 3 opposed, and 3 abstentions.**

ACTION: S. Reber moved that \$326 be allocated to the policy committee for the purpose of a policy governance training session, seconded by K Roberts. That would pay for a facilitator for a 2 hr. session, plus mileage. W. Sander asked why the money couldn't be directly allocated without a motion, since S. Hamlyn-Prescott had already mentioned money that was in the budget for seminars, etc. P. Ingvaldstad said we shouldn't be spending the money unless the board agrees there is some interest in policy governance. He added that he thought all board members should go to the training session. C. Mann asked when it would be. S. Reber said he had assumed not many would want to come, and asked the board when they would like it to be held. D. Whitcomb and D. Baker wanted a fuller explanation of what the training session was about. S. Reber said the point of the training is for the committee to learn enough about policy governance to explain it to the board. D. Whitcomb said if this is what David Ford came to talk about, they're in violation of the law, and he wants no part of it. P. Ingvaldstad said 3 boards in the state got

grant money to fund a shift to policy governance. This is a start for us to see if we want to apply for a grant. **The motion was passed with 1 opposed and 1 abstention.**

B. Staff & Community Relations Committee

A copy of a Side Letter of Agreement between the Lamoille Union Board of School Directors and the Lamoille Union Education Association had previously been mailed out. It would amend Article XI, paragraph 14, of the current master agreement to add to Group IV activities, create a new Group VI activities list and move some activities from Group V into it, and set the stipend for advisors to activities in the new group.

ACTION: J. Eisenhardt moved to approve the Side Letter of Agreement between the Lamoille Union Board of School Directors and the Lamoille Union Education Association amending Article XI, paragraph 14, of the current master agreement, seconded by W. Sander.

S. Hamlyn-Prescott said when we negotiated the contract, we said that this part would be looked at this year. T. Bailey said this establishes a new category of extra duty contracts, one that involves less effort on the part of advisors. He noted that the technical honor society was put into the group for lower-effort activities because the advisor didn't keep a time sheet, and there were a couple of other activities that were moved that he wondered about. He also said none of the administrators were aware of this.

ACTION: S. Hamlyn-Prescott moved to go into executive session to discuss this part of the negotiated contract, with administration invited to remain, at 8:48, seconded by K Roberts, and the motion was passed. The board consented out of session at 8:54.

T. Bailey recommended that advisors who didn't do timesheets last year do them this year, to provide concrete evidence, and recommended going ahead with this side letter. W. Sander agreed, but noted that a timesheet alone won't determine what stipend will be payable for an activity, because a person might spend more time than was necessary. **The motion was passed, with D. Whitcomb abstaining because he didn't understand the issue.**

C. Policy Committee

S. Reber said the policy committee recommends the board warn a minor but important change to Policy 6.6.2 (Firearms, Weapons, and Destructive Devices.) B. Schaffer explained that in the current policy, the interpretation of what is a firearm is left wide open. He recommended removing this section under Definitions: "C. Firearm exception. Antiques and rifles to be used solely for sporting, recreational or cultural purposes, and any device which is neither designated nor redesigned for use as a firearm." An alternative consequence would be added to the Consequences section for cases where the student had no intent to injure. K. Roberts noted that this policy is governed by state and federal law, so the policy committee

recommended approving the change and also having it reviewed by the school's attorney. C. Mann said that there are many students who hunt and come to school with a gun in the trunk because they just forgot, and with this change they could be kicked out if the administrator decides to do it. P. Ingvoldstad said then it would come to the board. K. Roberts said the old policy let the administrator define a firearm; now he will be able to decide about intent instead. S. Reber said a hunting rifle in a classroom would be a bad thing. C. Mann asked, what if a future administrator doesn't like hunting or guns? P. Ingvoldstad and S. Reber said those cases will still come to the board. D. Baker pointed out that in item A. (i.) under Consequences, the word "firearm" should be replaced with "weapon," and the board agreed. W. Sander said air pistols and air rifles don't fit into the definition of firearms in the policy, but they should. K. Roberts said that definition comes from the law cited above. We could add to it for our policy, but the definition of a weapon covers air guns. D. Whitcomb noted that in item A. (iii) under Consequences, the word "in" should be replaced with "it."

ACTION: S. Reber moved that the updated policy 6.6.2 be warned, and that central office be directed to have the school's attorney review it for compliance with state law, seconded by W. Sander, and the motion was passed.

7. *Request for Future Agenda Items and Adjourn*

A. **Future Agenda Items**

P. Ingvoldstad would like to invite Fran Weinbaum to the next meeting. K. Roberts wants 10 minutes for the VSBA annual report. S. Hamlyn-Prescott wants to discuss the budget timeline for FY09. W. Sander suggested discussion of the superintendent evaluation revisions. It was agreed that the revisions would be sent out to everyone again by snail mail.

B. **Adjourn:**

ACTION: It was moved and seconded to adjourn at 9:10 and the motion passed.

Respectfully submitted by,
Donna E. Griffiths

Actions taken at the LU District #18 Board Meeting 10/16/07:

2. *Presentation and Guest Input on What to Do With the Sweeney House*

ACTION: K. Roberts moved that the board sell the Sweeney house and 4 acres and retain 50% of the proceeds for Friends of Cricket Hill to build a new storage facility and for maintenance of trails, seconded by S. Reber.

K. Roberts and S. Reber agreed to amend the motion to say that the board would retain an amount not more than \$100,000.

K. Roberts and S. Reber agreed to amend the motion thus: to sell the Sweeney house and 4 acres, stipulating that the board ask for authorization for up to \$100,000 to be put into a reserve fund for construction of a storage building and maintenance of the Cricket Hill trails.

K. Roberts and S. Reber agreed to amend the motion to say that up to \$100,000 would be set aside for future upkeep of the trails, including a structure to house equipment.

D. Baker moved to call the question, and the motion was seconded and passed.

The motion to sell the property was passed, with W. Sander opposed.

3. *Consent Agenda Items (Approval of Minutes of the October 2nd Meeting and Acceptance of Administrative Reports)*

ACTION: K. Roberts moved to approve the consent agenda, W. Sander seconded, and the motion was passed.

4. *Directors' Orders*

ACTION: D. Osborn moved to approve directors' orders for District #18 in the amount of \$1,511,567.31 and for GMTCC Building Project in the amount of \$1,189,614.26, seconded by W. Sander. Major expenditures for District #18: \$21,630.00 Augmentative Learning; \$52,084.89 First Student; \$16,859.00 Grimes, Inc. (Cricket Hill wastewater); \$97,020.00 LNSU (workers' comp., insurance); \$1,199,795.73 The Bank of New York Trust Co.; \$17,145.57. Major expenditures for GMTCC Bldg. Project: \$1,107,534.50 DEW Construction Corp.; \$69,700.80 Symquest Group, Inc. Several small amounts and one large amount were signed for between meetings in order to meet payment deadlines. **The motion was passed.**

ACTION: S. Reber moved to retroactively approve the payments made by the clerk on October 5 (\$1,072.55 to De Lage Landen for District #18 and \$1,493,342.90, including \$1,455,641.65 to DEW, for GMTCC Bldg. Project) and October 16 (\$5,887.50 to Alphanet Solutions for District #18 and \$62,729.95 to US Bank Operations Center for GMTCC Bldg. Project), seconded by D. Whitcomb, and the motion was passed.

5. *Administrative Action Items*

B. GMTCC

ACTION: W. Sander moved to award the Grant Anticipation Note in the amount of \$5,427,349 to the lowest cost provider, TD Banknorth, seconded by K. Roberts. The motion was passed.

C. Central Office

ACTION: S. Hamlyn-Prescott moved to accept the memorandum of understanding with the VT Dept. of Health authorizing use of the school as an emergency clinic, seconded by B. Bailey. The motion was passed, with K. Roberts opposed.

6. *Committee Reports and Action Items*

A. Finance Committee

ACTION: S. Reber moved to approve paying \$24 per hour, for an anticipated total of \$384, for committee minute takers, and the motion was seconded. The motion was passed with 4 in favor, 3 opposed, and 3 abstentions.

ACTION: S. Reber moved that \$326 be allocated to the policy committee for the purpose of a policy governance training session, seconded by K Roberts. The motion was passed with 1 opposed and 1 abstention.

B. Staff & Community Relations Committee

ACTION: J. Eisenhardt moved to approve the Side Letter of Agreement between the Lamoille Union Board of School Directors and the Lamoille Union Education Association amending Article XI, paragraph 14, of the current master agreement, seconded by W. Sander.

ACTION: S. Hamlyn-Prescott moved to go into executive session to discuss this part of the negotiated contract, with administration invited to remain, at 8:48, seconded by K Roberts, and the motion was passed.

The motion was passed, with D. Whitcomb abstaining because he didn't understand the issue.

C. Policy Committee

ACTION: S. Reber moved that the updated policy 6.6.2 be warned, and that central office be directed to have the school's attorney review it for compliance with state law, seconded by W. Sander, and the motion was passed.

7. *Request for Future Agenda Items and Adjourn*

B. Adjourn:

ACTION: It was moved and seconded to adjourn at 9:10 and the motion passed.